International Journal of Research in Library Science (IJRLS)

ISSN: 2455-104X DOI: 10.26761/IJRLS.9.3.2023.1675 Volume 9, Issue 3 (July-Sept.) 2023, Page: 13-23, Paper ID: IJRLS-1675 Received: 14 May. 2023 ; Accepted: 8 July. 2023 ; Published: 13 July. 2023 Copyright © 2023 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article. This article is published under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0</u>.

Authorship Patterns of "Indian Physicists" In Web of Science Database (2011-2020)

Gajbe Sankalp S¹; Dr. Sonwane Shashank²

Librarian, G.E.I's, Mahila Mahavidylaya, S.V. Joshi High School, Dombivli (E) Thane, Maharashtra, India¹; Professor, Dept. of Library and Information Science, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, Aurangabad, Maharashtra, India²

gajbe.sankalp16@gmail.com; shashank65@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The study presents a Scientometric Analysis of 7362 articles published in "Indian Physicists" during the year 2011-2020. The data is collected and analyzed by using the MS- Excel software. The study focused on various aspects authorship pattern & author productivity, institution-wise distribution, geographical distribution of contribution, document type-wise distribution. Out of 7362 contributions, the study revealed that most of the papers (93%) of papers were contributed by multiple authors. United States is the top producing country with 50.79% publications of the total output. All the articles were published in English language. The study demonstrates and elaborates on the various aspects of the Journal, such as its distribution of article by year, authorship patterns, and distribution of contribution of contributions by institution, subject distributions, rank of cited authors, and geographical distributions of authors.

KEYWORDS: Bibliometric, Scientrometric, Authoership Pattern, Author Ranking, Indian Physicists.

INTRODUCTION

Bibliometrics is a set of methods used to study or measure texts and information (Wikipedia, 2011). A bibliometric analysis is employed by many researchers to study the literature in a given field. Such a study is often carried out by counting the references cited by a large number of researchers in their papers. The term Bibliometrics is derived from two distinct words, biblio and metrics. The word biblio is derived from the combination of the Latin and Greek word biblion, meaning "book" or "paper" while the word metrics indicates the science of meter, i.e., measurement and is also derived either from the Latin word metrics or the Greek word metrikons, both meaning "measurement". Therefore, bibliometrics connotes the science of measurement pertaining to books or documents. In a sense, information science is an extension of library science or an expansion of reference services. In other words, bibliometrics is a branch of science, which studies the behavior of information. Traditionally, it is associated with the quantitative measurement of documentary materials. Bibliometrics is the use of statistical methods in the analysis of a body of literature to reveal the historical development of subject fields and patterns of authorship, publication, and use. In other words, it is a type of research method used in library and information science. It

utilizes quantitative analysis and statistics to describe patterns of publication within a given field or body of literature. Researchers may use bibliometric methods of evaluation to determine the influence of a single writer or to describe the relationship between two or more writers or works.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

There have been few scientometrics studies conducted on individual journals. Some of the relevant studies in the aforementioned for direction are creditable of review.

Navgare and Et al (2015), evaluated a study on A scientometrics analysis of 215 articles published in "Journal of Collaborative Librarianship" by during the year 2009 to 2014. In his study they showed that distribution of contribution, authorship pattern & author productivity, institution-wise distribution, geographical distribution of contribution, document type-wise distribution, and average length of paper, mail domain wise distribution and number of references in each year.

Wankhede, Kakde and Khandare (2015), they study "A Bibliometric Analysis Of The Urban Library Journal On Doaj" contents 36 articles published in "Urban Library Journal" during the year 2010- 2014..Data is collected and analyzed by using the SPSS software. The study concentrated on a number of factors, including authorship patterns, institutions involved, most prolific journal authors, document kinds, publications, and citations, year-by-year. The survey found that 33.33% of the papers had many authors who each contributed to the writing. With 100% of all publications produced, the United States is the leading producer. English was the only language used to publish the articles. The study illustrates and elaborates on several Journal features, including its article distribution by year, authorship patterns.

OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

The main objective of the study is to present the growth of literature, and make Quantitative and qualitative assessment of the research by analyzing the research outputs towards identifying the following facts:

- ✓ Year-wise Distribution of Contribution.
- ✓ Year-wise Authorship pattern of Contribution.
- ✓ Degree of Collaboration
- \checkmark To find out the most productive Author.
- ✓ Language-wise distribution of citations
- ✓ Ranking of First authors.
- ✓ Ranking of All authors. (General Author)

About the Database Web of Science

The Web of Science, formerly Web of Knowledge, is a platform with paid access that gives users access to numerous databases that contain reference and citation data from academic journals, conference proceedings, and other publications in a range of academic subjects. The Institute for Scientific Information created it at first. Currently owned by Clarivate, which was once Thomson Reuters' Intellectual Property and Science division.

A citation index is based on the idea that in science, citations act as links between related pieces of research and point to relevant or related scientific material, such as journal articles, conference proceedings, abstracts, etc. A citation index also makes it simple to find the literature that has had the greatest influence in a given topic or across multiple disciplines. Linking to all the papers that have mentioned a particular paper, for instance, will show how influential that work is. Current trends, patterns, and newly developing fields of study can all be evaluated in this way. The Science Citation Index, created by Eugene Garfield, is credited as being the "father of citation indexing of academic literature", which eventually gave rise to the Web of Science.

Scope and Limitation

This study is limited to the "Indian Physicists" during the year 2011-2020. Total 7362 articles were published during the year 2011-2020 on Web of Science. The Collected data was organized, analyze and generate the tables, using the MS Excel and presented in table, graphs and charts format for final study.

DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

In views of the objectives of the present study, analysis the "Indian Physicists" on Web of Science during 2011-2020.

The collected data will be analysis by different scientometric techniques and parameters viz authorship pattern. Author productivity, Degree of Collaboration, etc. which is presented in the form of tables and figures.

1. Year wise citation of article

Table No. 1: Year wise citation of article

Sr. No	Year wise	Total	Percentage
1	2011	965	13.11
2	2012	1123	15.25
3	2013	629	8.54
4	2014	493	6.70
5	2015	495	6.72
6	2016	640	8.69
7	2017	670	9.10
8	2018	732	9.94
9	2019	778	10.57
10	2020	837	11.37
	Total	7362	100.00



Figure No. 1: Year wise citation of article

The distribution of research articles on Indian Physicist by year indexed in Web of Science from 2011-2020. It is clear that the number of research articles has been increased over the months. It is indicates also that of the 7362 articles published in 2012. i.e. (15.25%) has the highest number. While in the other years (84.75%) the lowest number.

2 Authorship pattern of citations

The authorship patterns of citation are arranged as Single, Two, Three, Four, Five, Six, Seven, Eight, Nine, Ten and More Than Ten Author. The citations are arranged under each category for counted their percentage in authorship pattern for showing the trends of research as solo or corporate in Indian Physicist research, which is shown in the table No.2 and figure no 2

Sr. No	Authorship Pattern	Frequency	Percentage
1	Single Author	449	6.10
2	Double Author	1561	21.20
3	Three Author	1650	22.41
4	Four Author	1141	15.50
5	Five Author	677	9.20
6	Six Author	366	4.97
7	Seven Author	220	2.99
8	Eight Author	139	1.89
9	Nine Author	96	1.30
10	Ten Author	61	0.83
	More Than Ten		
11	Author	1002	13.61
	Total	7362	100.00

Table – 2 Authorship Pattern of	Citations
--	-----------

It is observed form the above table that, out of 7362 authors citations, the single author with 449(6.10%) of total citation, followed by two authors with 1561(21.20%) citations, three authorship is most prominent with 1650(22.41%) of total citations, four authors with 1141(15.50%) citations, five authors with 677(9.20%) citations, six authors with 366(4.97%) citations, seven authors with 220(2.99%) citations, Eight authors with 139(1.89%) citations, Nine authors with 96(1.30%) citations, Ten authors with 61(0.83%) citations and the More Than Ten Author citations are 1002(13.61%) citation respectively.



Figure No.2 Authorship pattern of total citations

3 Authorship Pattern year wise

Collaborative research is very much feature of the library and information science especially during the 21st century. It is the natural reflection of complexity. Scale and cost of modern irresisaction in Library and Information Science. Multi Authorship provides different measures of collaboration in the subject. Table No.3 reveals the authorship pattern of the articles published during the period of study.

			Authorship pattern					
			Two	Three	Four	More Than		
Sr. No	Year	Single	Author	Author	Author	Four Author	Total	%
1	2011	70	210	216	144	325	965	13.11
2	2012	61	228	293	184	357	1123	15.25
3	2013	28	154	147	92	208	629	8.54
4	2014	44	99	116	52	182	493	6.70
5	2015	32	99	99	92	173	495	6.72

Table No.3: Authorship Pattern year wis

6	2016	53	130	130	96	231	640	8.69
7	2017	45	136	136	107	246	670	9.10
8	2018	33	158	151	108	282	732	9.94
9	2019	39	166	180	120	273	778	10.57
10	2020	44	181	182	146	284	837	11.37
	Total	449	1561	1650	1141	2561	7362	100.00
	%	6.10	21.20	22.41	15.50	34.79	100.00	



Figure No. 3: Authorship Pattern year wise

It is clear that the 449 articles written by single author and it is the highest number published in Web of Science 2011. It indicates also that 28 articles written by single author published in Web of Science 2013. It is the lowest number. The number of research articles has been published in Web of Science written by two authors i.e. 228 (2012) it is the highest number and 99 articles published in 2014 & 2015 with lowest number. It indicate that in 2012, 293 research articles are published written by three authors as well as 99 research articles are published in 2015 and those are lowest number. 52 research articles are published in year 2014. It is the lowest number. 184 articles written by four authors published in 2012 i.e. highest number. More than four authors contributed 173 research articles in the year 2015. It is the lowest contribution. In the year 2012, 357 research articles are contributed by more than four authors.

4. Degree of Collaboration

Degree of collaboration (DC) among different authors presented in Table No.4.10 in order to calculate the Degree of Collaboration (DC) the formula given by Subramanyam (1983) have been employed which is expressed mathematical as;

$$DC = \frac{Nm}{Nm + Ns}$$

Whereas-

DC= Degree of Collaboration

Nm= No. of multi authors papers

Ns = No. of Single authored Papers.

Here -

Nm= 895 Ns= 70

$$DC = \frac{895}{70 + 895} = 0.93$$

Table No.4: Degree of Collaboration

Sr. No.	Years	Single Author	Multi Author	ТА	ТР	DC
		Papers	Papers			
1	2011	70	895	57535	965	0.93
2	2012	61	1062	95732	1123	0.95
3	2013	28	601	128009	629	0.96
4	2014	44	449	135396	493	0.91
5	2015	32	463	122789	495	0.94
6	2016	53	587	135991	640	0.92
7	2017	45	625	162668	670	0.93
8	2018	33	699	194852	732	0.95
9	2019	39	739	125821	778	0.95
10	2020	44	793	127518	837	0.95
	Total	449	6913	1286311	7362	0.94

(N.B. TA-Total Authors, TP-Total Paper, DC-Degree of Collaboration)



Figure No. 4: Degree of Collaboration

The above table reveals that, the year wise degree of collaboration which is falls between 0.96 and 0.91 with an average of 0.94during the study period.

5 Degree of Collaboration among different category of authors

The degree of collaboration or the collaboration coefficient is the ratio of the number of collaborative papers to the total number of papers published during a fixed period of time.

Collaboration coefficient is the ratio of the number of collaborative papers to the total number of papers published.

Sr. No.	Year	DC Two authored papers	DC in three authored papers	DC in four authored papers	DC in more than four authors
1	2011	0.22	0.22	0.15	0.34
2	2012	0.20	0.26	0.16	0.32
3	2013	0.24	0.23	0.15	0.33
4	2014	0.20	0.24	0.11	0.37
5	2015	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.35
6	2016	0.20	0.20	0.15	0.36
7	2017	0.20	0.20	0.16	0.37
8	2018	0.22	0.21	0.15	0.39
9	2019	0.21	0.23	0.15	0.35
10	2020	0.22	0.22	0.17	0.34

Table No.5: Degree of Collaboration among different category of authors





The table No.5 shows that, the degree of collaboration among two authors publication 0.24 is the highest and lest was 0.20. In three authors collaboration 0.26 is highest least 0.20in four authors collaboration 0.19 was highest and least was 0.11 whereas more than four authors' collaboration 0.39 was highest and least was 0.32. It was noticed that 0.39 was highest among the collaboration in different category of authors.

6. Authors Productivity

Yashikane and Others (2009) in their papers published is Scientometrics Journal have given a formula to calculate Average Author Per Paper (AAPP) and Productivity Per Author (PPA). The formula is mathematically represented as follows:

	No. of Authors	57535
AAPP =		= 59.62
	No. of Papers	965
	No. of Papers	965
PPA =		= 0.017
	No. of Authors	57535

 Table No.6: Authors Productivity

Sr. No.	Year	Total Paper	Total Author	AAPP	PPA
1	2011	965	57535	59.62	0.017
2	2012	1123	95732	85.25	0.012
3	2013	629	128009	203.51	0.005
4	2014	493	135396	274.64	0.004
5	2015	495	122789	248.06	0.004
6	2016	640	135991	212.49	0.005
7	2017	670	162668	242.79	0.004
8	2018	732	194852	266.19	0.004
9	2019	778	125821	161.72	0.006
10	2020	837	127518	152.35	0.007
	Total	7362	1286311	174.72	0.006

(N.B. AAPP-Average Author per Paper, PPA-Productivity per Author)

Table No. 6 illustrates the average author per paper for the period 2011-2020 is 174.72 and productivity per author mentioned as 0.006.

The above table shows that the data pertaining to author productivity and average author per year. The highest no. of productivity per author is 0.017and lowest no of author is found 0.004 In the case of Average Author Per Paper the highest no. was found that 274.64 and lowest number was found 59.62.

7. Language-wise distribution of citations

A total number of 7362 citations of Indian Physicist in web of Science are distributed among languages used is shown in Table No.7

Sr. No	Language	Total	Percentage
1	English	7359	99.96
2	Chinese	2	0.03
3	Danish	1	0.01
Total		7362	100.00

Table-7 Language-Wise Distribution of Citations

It is observed from the above table that, out of 7362 citations, the English language scores the top position with 7359(99.96%) citations, Chinese language goes to second position with 2(0.03%) citations, and the minimum numbers of citations are from Danish language with 1(0.01%) citations. This again shows that English language is dominant over other languages

8. Rank list of Authors (General)

In the general rank list of author's equal weightage was given to every author irrespective of their position, whether he/she is on first, second or on sixth position. Accordingly the rank list of authors of overall proceedings was prepared and list of five top ranked authors is presented in table no. 8

Sr. No	Author	Citation	Percentage	Rank
1	Kumar A	1499	0.12	1
2	Jain S	1015	0.08	2
3	Bhattacharya S	979	0.08	3
4	Banerjee S	930	0.07	4
5	Finger M	891	0.07	5
6	Sharma A	879	0.07	6
7	Wang J	819	0.06	7
8	Kim H	812	0.06	8
9	Sharma V	805	0.06	9
10	Malik S	799	0.06	10
11	Belyaev A	797	0.06	11
12	Fabbri F	781	0.06	12
13	Lee S	765	0.06	13
14	Wang Z	735	0.06	14
15	Weber M	730	0.06	15
16	Muller T	706	0.05	16
17	Li W	669	0.05	17
18	Gomez JP	665	0.05	18
19	Lee J	664	0.05	19
20	Ahmad M	652	0.05	20

Table No. 8: Ranking of authors (Author may be on any position)

	Total	1296311	100.00	
Conti				
25	Vorobyev A	610	0.05	25
24	Ghosh S	615	0.05	24
23	Lee SW	620	0.05	23
22	Chen Y	637	0.05	22
21	Chauhan S	650	0.05	21

The author which the is most preferred by researchers, that document is most important to keep in the library for that purpose author ranking is essential to librarians as well as researchers. It is observed form the above table that, a total of 1296311 personal authors appeared from 7362 citations of Indian Physicist from Web of Science. The ranking of personal authors according to their contributions is reveals that the top most cited author are "Kumar A." scores the top position with 1499 (0.12%) citations, second rank goes to 'Jain S' with 1015 (0.08%) citations, followed by "Bhattacharya S.' with 979 (0.08%) citations respectively

FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

The findings are based on the analysis of collected data appended in 7362 articles in Indian Physicists in web of Science, These are following.

1. The highest numbers 1123 (15.25%) of papers were published in 2012 contributing.

2. Year wise degree of collaboration which is falls between 0.96 and 0.91 with an average of 0.94 during the study period.

3. Average author per paper for the period 2011-2020 is 174.72 and productivity per author mentioned as 0.006

4. Mostly the articles were published in English language (99.96).

5. The top most cited author are "Kumar A." scores the top position with 1499 (0.12%) citations.

REFERENCES

[1] Navghare S. V. and Wankhede Raju S. (2015). A Scientometric Profile of Collaborative Librarianship on Doaj. Knowledge Librarian. Vol. 2(3) 150-177.

[2] M.Manikandan and N. Amsaveni. (2016). Management Information System Research Output: A Scientometric Study. *International Journal of Library & Information Science (IJLIS)*, 2016, 5(1):21-27 (2277-3533).

[3] Wankhede, R. S., Kakde, B. B., &Bhikaji, K. S. (2015). A bibliometric analysis of the Urban Library Journal on DOAJ. Knowledge Librarian: *An International Peer Reviewed Bilingual E-Journal of Library and Information Science*, 2(1), 173-192.

[4] Sangharsh S. Gajbe & Dr. Shashank S. Sonawane, Mapping of Leprosy Literature: A Bibliometric Study "Knowledge Librarian" An International Peer Reviewed Bilingual E-Journal of Library and Information Science Volume: 03, Issue: 02, March – April 2016

[5] Sangharsh S. Gajbe & Dr. Shashank S. Sonawane, Authorship Pattern and Degree of Collaboration in Theleprosy Research: A Scientometrics Study "Knowledge Librarian" An International Peer Reviewed Bilingual E-Journal of Library and Information Science Volume: 02, Issue: 06, Nov. – Dec. 2015